



**Directorate of Professional Standards
Prevention and Organisational Learning Command**

Mr Jones
[Address]

DPS Customer Service Team
22nd Floor
Empress State Building
Empress Approach
Lillie Road
London
SW6 1TR

Fax: 020 8785 8740

Email: DPSCustomerServiceTeam@met.police.uk

Telephone: 020 8785 8666

Your reference:

Our reference: QU/01325/11

Date: 21 September 2011

Dear Mr Jones,

The outcome of your complaint against the police, made on 08 September 2011

Thank you for bringing this matter to my attention, you state that police attended your address at 12 Edward Henry House following your allegation that your family had conspired to send two men to your home to murder you.

You state that the police refused to take a statement from you in relation to this matter despite initially stating that they would.

The allegation you made to the officers initially stated that in 1967 you had been the victim of a medical conspiracy involving a fraudulent tonsillectomy whereby you had something implanted into your head. You stated that your mother benefited financially from this.

You state that you had an MRI scan in 2008 and that a doctor stated that nothing anomalous was revealed in the scan. You then describe how subsequently you have had an acrimonious relationship with you mother and sister. You then describe how someone rang the external and internal doorbell to your flat and draw a conclusion that the people attending your flat did so to murder you.

You then go on to say that various food products you purchased were poisoned in particular you state that you believe that a bottle of water you purchased contains Polonium –210.

You subsequently state that you believe that your flat is being broken into and on one occasion you state that an unopened bottle of vitamin pills has been replaced with an identical product, which contained poison. You state that you have tried to report these occurrences to police but that the police have “stonewalled” you by not reporting these matters.

The national crime reporting standards state that the standard for recording a crime is on the balance of probabilities.

Having looked at the incident log relating to your initial report and considering the nature of your allegations it is clear that your crime was not recorded because on the balance of probabilities there is no evidence to indicate that a crime has been committed. Ultimately two people have knocked at your door then went away, the rest of the allegation appears to be nothing more than conclusions you have drawn based on a set of circumstances which sound fantastical.

It is indicated on the incident log that the officers were concerned about your mental health and took steps to ensure that you had access to treatment, they were satisfied that this was the case as you had an appointment with your doctor the following day.

As a result of this there is no evidence that would indicate that an officer has committed an act of misconduct.

You have the right of appeal in relation to this investigation to the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC). You have 28 days within which to make your appeal to the IPCC. You are advised to post your appeal in good time to ensure it reaches the IPCC before the end of the 28th day. The 28th day is 7th November 2011. ***Appeals received after 28 days may not be allowed unless there are exceptional circumstances.***

You might want to consider using guaranteed next-day delivery post service ***to ensure that your appeal is received within time.***

I enclose the IPCC's leaflet that explains exactly how to go about lodging an appeal. You will see that you can appeal on any one of the following grounds (Not all of these necessarily apply to your case) that:

- You have not been adequately informed about the findings of the investigation or any proposals resulting from the report;
- You disagree with the findings of the investigation including whether a person has a case to answer for misconduct or gross misconduct;
- You disagree with the police proposals for action - or lack of them - in light of the report;
- You disagree with the decision not to refer the report to the CPS.

Yours sincerely,

Sgt Simon Doyle
DPS Customer Service Team